We are budgeting on 150 attendees. I think PQC budgets on 300. It's very hard with the contractor fees and NIST OH fees that get incorporated into the budget to keep fees down at off-site meetings. The contractor fee is 25% of all costs. When we have a meeting here, A/V and meeting room rentals are free. Off-site, they are very expensive, with a 22% service charge + sales tax. F&B beverage costs are also double (or more) at hotels, again with service charges and taxes.

Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2016 10:20 AM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: Re: PQC FAQ update
I'm assuming our numbers are lower than PQCrypto's right? Last year their registration cost was about \$250 a person. I'd be concerned if our registration is \$450.

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2016 10:14:33 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Subject: RE: PQC FAQ update

Yes....I want to talk to Mary Lou. She sent me a very draft budget and I'd like to clarify a few things with her – although nothing is firm due to not knowing exact hotel costs. But, for a little sticker shock, being held at a hotel, the registration **with** food and beverage included is coming in over \$450/pp and without F&B is around \$200 (this figure also does not include complimentary registrations, which will increase the registration cost even more.) I do have concerns that people will choose the no F&B options and just go get their own lunches/coffee which will hurt our bottom line in meeting the hotel minimums.

Hopefully we can talk tomorrow. I have a workshop at the Green Auditorium Thurs and Fri.

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2016 9:33 AM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <<u>sara.kerman@nist.gov</u>>
Subject: Re: PQC FAQ update
Sara,
Thanks for making the fixes. No worries! You're doing a fabulous job in my opinion!
I'm working from home today. Do we need to sync up about the hotels for the workshop?
Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2016 9:29:27 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Subject: RE: PQC FAQ update
Dustin,

Update made to FAQ.html and KAT.pdf. So sorry about the KAT file. My eyes were only drawn into the instructions at the end of the document. I'll ask Larry to highlight information meant for me in the future when it is embedded within text like that. I didn't read through the paragraphs so it just looked like straight text to my eyes.

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)

Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2016 8:03 AM

To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <<u>sara.kerman@nist.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: PQC FAQ update

Sara,

I didn't do a tracked changes, but I can tell you the two changes. Maybe then you can make them directly?

- Wiener was mis-spelled one time as Weiner. It should be Wiener.
- In reference [2], the link isn't working. I think it's because the link has just .pd instead of .pdf

Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)

Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2016 7:48:33 AM

To: Moody, Dustin (Fed)

Subject: RE: PQC FAQ update

Dustin,

Any chance you have a tracked changes version of this? The FAQ is in html format with tags throughout where we pointed back to FAQ sections from the Call for Proposals. I would rather not have to put those in each time. If I can just see what changed, I can make those changes to the HTML file.

Thanks,

Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2016 2:12 PM

To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <<u>sara.kerman@nist.gov</u>>

Subject: PQC FAQ update

Sara,

I made some small fixes in the FAQ document on our PQC webpage. Please use the attached version. Thanks,

Dustin

(Wiener was misspelled, and one of the links didn't work. Both are now fixed in the attached version).